

#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

## When to Use This Form

This packet is to be used in conjunction with Procedure P-191.5: Reporting Incidents whenever a pipeline incident occurs to provide for notification, reporting, and investigation of the incident.

### Reviewed Procedures

□ P-191.5 Incident Reporting□ P-192.617 Investigation of Incidents and Failures

The applicable sections of the above procedure(s) shall be reviewed prior to completing this form.

### Documentation Procedure

- 1. Copy this packet and replace original in manual. Do not mark up the original copy of this form.
- 2. Complete Initial Notification section on Page 2.
- 3. Immediately initiate emergency plan procedures.
- 4. If the incident requires a telephonic report, complete the telephonic notification section of this packet.
- Gather data on the following pages as it becomes available for use in the root cause analysis and determine if procedures were adequate for handling the incident.
- Within 30 days of the incident, complete PHMSA Form F-7100.2 (attached) and submit to Regulatory Manager for approval. This form must submitted by mail according to Procedure P-191.5 or submitted electronically here: <a href="http://opsweb.rspa.dot.gov/cfdocs/opsapps/pipes/main.cfm">http://opsweb.rspa.dot.gov/cfdocs/opsapps/pipes/main.cfm</a>
- 7. As additional information becomes available, update this packet and send supplemental reports (within 30 days of availability) to PHMSA and the appropriate State regulator.
- 8. Create a file in the DOT files for this incident and file all information concerning it and a copy of this entire packet there.
- Retain Record for the Life of the Pipeline System.

#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.



#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

#### INITIAL NOTIFICATION

Location	☐ Onshore ☐ Offshore	☐ Inland bo	dy of water (Nar	me):	
	Pipeline within right-of-way of: ☐ Railroad ☐ Paved roa	d/street	Highway		
	Pipeline station number:				
	Pipeline system:		Location code:		
_	Street address:				
	City:	County:		State:	
	Nearest major landmark:				
or ion	Operator:				
Operator Information	Street address:	Street address:			
Ol	City: County:			State:	
Reporter Info.	Name: Title: Telephone:				
Repo			Telephone:		
	Date of incident:		Time of incident:		
acts	Number of fatalities	Operator:		Public:	
ant F	Number of injuries	Operator:		Public:	
Significant Facts	Commodity transported:				
Sig	Other:				
Comple	Completed by: Date:				



#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

#### **TELEPHONIC NOTIFICATION**

Louisiana (504) 342-5585 (working hours) (504) 342-5505 (after hours)		<b>xas</b> '88 (24 hours)	National Response Center (800) 424-8802 (24 hours)	
(Name of Individual Receiving Report)	· ·		(Name of Individual Receiving Report)	
Name of affiliate operating involve	ed pipeline:			
Name of employee making the init	tial notification:			
Telephone number where reporting	ng employee may	be reached:		
System name/line number:				
Incident location: (Give reference relative to the nearest street address, town, city, county, parish, and state; or nearest offshore platform or other appropriate landmarks)				
Date and time incident occurred:		Date:		
(Estimate if not known exactly)		Time:	☐ A.M. ☐ P.M.	
Number of fatalities:				
Number of personal injuries:				
Number of injuries requiring hospitalization:				
Preliminary estimated cost of property damage: (Include damage to facilities and third-party damages.)				
Preliminary estimated cost of unintentional gas loss (at average purchase price), if over three million cubic feet:				
Known facts relevant to cause of incident (do not speculate):				
Description of damages:				



#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

#### INVESTIGATION DATA

	Was the incident detected promptly?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
Detection	How was it detected? By whom?
	Could it have been detected earlier?  No Yes; explain:
	Were proper procedures followed in notifying government agencies?  Yes No; explain discrepancies/omissions:
nvestigation	Were notifications prompt? ☐ Yes ☐ No; explain:
Notification Investigation	Was management notified promptly? ☐ Yes ☐ No; explain:
2	Was management response appropriate?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; explain:
Origin	Incident occurred on:  Pipe Compressor Valve Pig Trap Weld; Type: Other:
Type of Failure:	
	If the failure was caused by a rupture, how long was the rupture?
Origin	Material involved:
	Part of System Involved:  Pipeline  Compressor station  Other:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

### Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation

	Year the part of system involved was installed:			
	Class location of incident:			
_	Nominal pipe size: in.	Wall thickness: in		
erial icatio	Specification:	SMYS:		
Material Specification	Seam type:	Valve type:		
Ø	Manufactured by: Year installed:			
Environment	Where was the incident:  Under pavement Above ground Other:	☐ Underground		
Corrosion Failure	Was the incident caused by corrosion?  No; Skip this section  Yes; Complete this section			
Corrosi	Where did the corrosion occur? ☐ Internally ☐ Externally			
	Visual description: ☐ Localized pitting ☐ General corre	osion		
ailure	Cause:  ☐ Galvanic ☐ Other:			
Corrosion Failure	Pipe coating: ☐ Bare ☐ Coated			
<b>ပိ</b>	Was corroded part of the pipeline consider discovering incident? ☐ Yes; year protection began: ☐ No	ered to be under cathodic protection prior to		



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Nominal diameter:	in.	SMYS:	psi	
	Wall thickness:	in.	MAOP:	psi	
ailure	Type of joint:    Welded   Flanged   Threaded   Coupled   Other:				
	Pipe was:	omerged	☐ Above ground		
ipe F	Pressure at location at time of incid	lent		psig	
Line Pipe Failure	Had there been a pressure test on  No; Skip this section  Yes; Complete this section	the system	?		
	Date of latest test:				
	Duration of test:				
	Maximum test pressure:			psig	
	Was failure caused by damage from  ☐ No; Skip this section ☐ Yes; Complete this section	m outside f	orces?		
Outside Damage Failure	Primary causes of incident:  Damage resulted from action of operator or their agent Damage resulted from action of outside party/third party Damage by earth movement Subsidence Landslide/washout Frost Other: Damage by lightning or fire				
	Locating information (for damage resulting from action of outside party/third party):  Did operator get prior notification that equipment would be used in the area?  No Yes; Date received:  Was pipeline location marked either as result of notification or by markers already in place?  No Yes				



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	☐ Permanent markers ☐ Temporary stakes ☐ Other:		
fect Failure	Was the failure caused by a construction defe ☐ No; Skip this section ☐ Yes; Complete this section	ct?	
Was the failure caused by a construction defect?  No; Skip this section  Yes; Complete this section  Poor workmanship during construction  Operating procedure inappropriate  Error in operating application  Physical damage during construction  Other:			
	List all personnel whose performance may have contributed to the incident.		
	Name	Date of Last OQ Evaluation	
ror			
ator Error			
Operator Error			
Operator Error			
Operator Error			
Operator Error			



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Was the magnitude of the problem assessed correctly at start?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	What means were used for this assessment?
tion	Are any guides or aids needed to assist leak evaluation?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain
Assessment / Evaluation	What sources of information were available on wind currents?
ent /	Is this information adequate?
mssi	☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
Asse	Was the information useful (and used) for gas dispersion?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	Were such forecasts realistic?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	Is there adequate information on the specific gas properties?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	What steps were taken to end gas release?
ization	
Mobiliza	Was mobilization prompt?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
Mobilizatio n	Could it have been faster, or should it have been?  Yes No; Explain:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

Was mob	oilization of labor resources adequate?
☐ Yes	☐ No; Explain:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Was local agency responsible for fire containment used appropriately?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	How could this be improved?
	What equipment/resources were mobilized?
	Were they utilized effectively?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	What other resources were available?
	Why weren't they used?
Response - Strategy	Is there an adequate emergency response plan for the location?  Yes No; Explain:
Respons	Is it flexible enough to cope with unexpected events?  Yes No; Explain:
	Does the plan include clear understanding of local environmental sensitivities?  Yes No; Explain:
ategy	What was the initial strategy for response to this incident?
Response - Strategy	Is this strategy defined in the emergency plan?  Yes No; Explain:
Re	How did strategy evolve and change during this leak and how were these changes implemented?
	What caused the changes?



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Are improvements in the plan needed?
	□ No □ Yes; Explain:
	Is more training needed?
	□ No □ Yes; Explain:
rces	What outside resources were mobilized?
Response - Resources	How were they mobilized?
ponse	Did utilization of resources change with time?
Res	□ No □ Yes; Explain:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Were resources used effectively?				
	Resource	Yes/No		Explain	
rces					
esou					
se - R					
Response - Resources					
Re					
	What changes would have bee	n useful?			
	Do we have adequate knowled	ge of resour	ce availability?		
	☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:				
	Who was initially in about of the				
Ire	Who was initially in charge of the emergency response?				
and Structure	Was there a clear command structure maintained?				
S pui	☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:				
Comma					
What sort of organization was initially set up?					
	Did this change with time?				
and	Yes No; Explain:				
Command Structure	What changes would have bee	n useful?			



#### **FORM F-191.1**

Was there adequate surveillance?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
Should there be any changes?  No Yes; Explain:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Were communications adequate?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	What improvements are needed?
	Was support from financial services adequate?  No Yes; Explain:
	Should there be any changes?  No Yes; Explain:
	Is more planning needed? ☐ No ☐ Yes; Explain:
	Should financial procedures be developed to handle such incidents?
Measurements	Was there adequate measurement or estimation of the volume released?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	Should better measurement procedures be developed for either phase of operations?  No Yes; Explain:
Government Relations	What were the roles and effects of the various government agencies involved?
	Was there a single focal point among the government agencies for contact?  ☐ No ☐ Yes; Explain:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

#### **Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation**

Should there have been better focus of communications to the agencies?					
☐ No	☐ Yes; Explain:				



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	Were government agencies adequately informed at all stages?  ☐ Yes ☐ No; Explain:
	Could fewer agencies have been involved?  No Yes; Explain:
	Are any changes needed in procedures to manage government relations?  No Yes; Explain:
Government Relations	Was there adequate agreement with the government agencies on criteria for remediation?  Yes No; Explain:
	How was the agreement developed?
	Were we too agreeable with the agencies in accepting their requests for specific action items?  No Yes; Explain:
Public Relations	How were relations with the media handled?
	What problems were encountered?
	Are improvements needed?  No Yes; Explain:
	Could we have handled matters differently to reduce public outcry?
	Would it be useful to undertake a public information effort to educate reporters about gas leaks?  No Yes; Explain:



#### **FORM F-191.1**

Losses	There were:FatalitiesPersonal injuries requiring inpatient hospitalizationPersonal injuries requiring outpatient carePersonal injuries not requiring a hospital				
	Cost of	Estimated	Actual		
	Remediation				
>	Fire Control & Cleanup				
	Fires				
	Disposal				
	Lost Cargo				
	Legal Fees				
	Company Team (wages & expenses)				
Summary	Money paid by insurance company				
ns	How could expenses have been reduced?				
	Things done right:				
	Improvements needed:				



#### **FORM F-191.1**

	What lessons were learned?					
Summary	Changes to be Made	Personnel Responsible	Expected	Actual		
Investigation Closed on (Date):						
Area Supe	Date:					
Area Mana	Date:					