

When to Use This Form	This Packet is to be used in conjunction with Procedure P-191.5 whenever a pipeline incident occurs to provide for notification, reporting, and investigation of the incident.
Reviewed Procedures	 P-191.5 Incident Reporting P-192.617 Investigation of Incidents and Failures The applicable sections of the above procedure(s) shall be reviewed prior to completing this form.
Documentation Procedure	 Copy this packet and replace original in manual. Do not mark up the original copy of this form.
	2. Complete Initial Notification section on page 2.
	3. Immediately initiate Emergency Plan procedures.
	 If the incident requires a telephonic report complete the telephonic notification section of this packet.
	 Gather data on the following pages as it becomes available for use in the root cause analysis and determine if procedures were adequate for handling the incident.
	 Within 30 days of the incident complete PHMSA Form F 7100.1 (attached) and submit to Regulatory Manager for approval. This form must submitted by mail according to procedure P-191.5 or submitted electronically here:
	http://opsweb.rspa.dot.gov/cfdocs/opsapps/pipes/main.cfm
	 As additional information becomes available, update this packet and send supplemental reports (within 30 days of availability) to PHMSA and the appropriate state regulator.
	Create a file in the DOT files for this incident and file all information concerning it and a copy of this entire packet there.
	9. Retain Record for the Life of the Pipeline System.



FORM F-191.9

|--|

	Onshore Offshore Inla	nd Body of Water	(Name):	
	Pipeline within Right-of-way of:			
tion	Pipeline Station Number:			
Location	Pipeline System:		Location Code:	
	Street Address:			
	City: County:			State:
	Nearest Major Landmark:			
or iion	Operator:			
Operator Information	Street Address:			
O	City: County:		State:	
o.	Name:			
Reporter Info.	Title:		Telephone:	
	Date of incident:		Time of incident	::
acts	Number of Fatalities Operator:			Public:
Significant Facts	Number of Injuries Operator:			Public:
gnific	Commodity Transported:			
Siç	Other:			
Complet	ed by:			Date:



Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation (Distribution)

Telephonic Notification

Louisiana (504) 342-5585 (working hours) (504) 342-5505 (after hours)	Texas (512) 463-6788 (24 hours)	National Response Center (800) 424-8802 (24 hours)
(Name of Individual Receiving Report)	(Name of Individual Receiving Report)	(Name of Individual Receiving Report)
Name of Company Affiliate Operatir	g Involved Pipeline:	
Name of Employee Making the Initia	I Notification:	
Telephone Number Where Reportin	g Employee may be Reached:	
System Name/Line Number:		
Incident Location: (Give reference relative to the near offshore platform or other appropriate	arest street address, town, city, co te landmarks.)	unty, parish, and state; or nearest
Date and Time Incident Occurred known exactly):	(estimate if not Date: T	Time: □A.M. □P.M.
Number of Fatalities:		
Number of Personal Injuries:		
Number of Injuries Requiring Hospit	alization:	
Preliminary Estimated Cost of Prope (Include damage to Company Facilities,	erty Damage: Third-Party Damages, and Cost of Lost (Gas (at average purchase price))
Description of Damages:		
Known Facts Relevant to Cause of	ncident (DO NOT SPECULATE):	



FORM F-191.9

Incident Notification,	Reporting &	Investigation
		(Distribution)

Investigation Data

	Was the incident detected promptly?		
Detection	How was it detected? By whom?		
Det	Could it have been detected earlier?		
c	Were proper procedures followed in notifying government as	gencies?	
Notification Investigation	Were notifications prompt?		
Notificatio	Was management notified promptly?		
	Was management response appropriate?		
	Incident Occurred on: Pipe Compressor Valve Weld; Type: Fitting; Type:	□Pig Trap □Other:	
	Type of Failure: Leak Rupture Other:		
Ē	If the failure was caused by a rupture, how long was the rup	ture?	
Origin	Material Involved: Steel Plastic Other:		
	Part of System Involved: Pipeline Compressor Station Other:		
	Year the Part of System Involved was Installed:		
	Class location of incident:		
al S cif	Nominal Pipe Size: in. W	all thickness:	
14 Pages	S	Revised: May 201	



Gas Operations and Maintenance Manual Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation (Distribution)

	Specification:	SMYS:	
	Seam Type:	Valve Type:	
	Manufactured By:	Year Installed:	
Environ- ment	Where was the incident:	nd Other:	
	Was the incident caused by corrosion?		
e	Where did the Corrosion occur?	xternally	
Failu	Visual Description: Localized Pitting General Corrosion Other:		
osion	Visual Description: Localized Pitting General Corrosion Othe Corrosion Cause: Galvanic Other: Pipe Coating: Bare Coated		
Corre	Pipe Coating: Bare Coated		
	Was Corroded part of the pipeline considered to be under Cathodic Protection prior to discovering incident?		
	Yes; Year protection began:	No	
	Nominal Diameter: in.	SMYS:	
	Wall thickness: in.	MAOP:	
۵	Type of joint: Welded Flanged Threaded	Coupled Other:	
Pipe Failure	Pipe was: Buried Submerged Above Ground		
Pipe I	Pressure at location at time of incident	psig	
Line	Had there been a pressure test on the system?		
	Date of latest test: Duration of test:		
	Maximum test pressure: psig		
e Dama ge Failur	Was failure caused by damage from outside forces?		





	Primary causes of incident
	Damage resulted from action of operator or his agent.
	Damage resulted from action of outside party / third party.
	Damage by earth movement.
	Subsidence Landslide / washout
	Frost Other:
	Damage by lightning or fire.
	Locating information (for damage resulting from action of outside party / third party)
	Did operator get prior notification that equipment would be used in the area?
	No Yes; Date received:
	Was pipeline location marked either as result of notification or by markers already in place?
	No Yes
	Permanent markers Temporary stakes Other:
â	Was the failure caused by a construction defect?
ilure	□No; skip this section
ct Fa	Yes; complete below:
Construction Defect Failure	Poor workmanship during construction
tion	Operating procedure inappropriate
truc.	Error in operating application
suo	Physical damage during construction
0	Other:



Gas Operations and Maintenance Manual

	List	all personnel whose performance may	/ have contributed to	the incide	nt:
rror		Name	Date of Last OQ Evaluation		
tor E					
Operator Error					
0		e: Refer to OQ Plan for information on overed tasks.	how to handle these	personne	and their performance
	Was	the magnitude of the problem assess	sed correctly at start?	□Yes	□No; Explain:
	Wha	at means were used for this assessme	nt?		
ation	Are	any guides or aids needed to assist le	eak evaluation?	s 🗌No	; Explain:
Assessment/Evaluation	Wha	at sources of information were availabl	le on wind currents?		
ssmen	Is th	is information adequate? Yes	⊡No; Explain:		
Asse	Was	the information useful (and used) for	gas dispersion?	□Yes	□No; Explain:
	Wer	e such forecasts realistic? Yes	⊡No; Explain:		
	Is th	ere adequate information on the spec	ific gas properties?	□Yes	□No; Explain:



FORM F-191.9

Incident Notification,	Reporting &	Investigation
		(Distribution)

	What steps were taken to end gas release?
	Was mobilization prompt?
	Could it have been speeded up or should it have been? Yes No; Explain:
	Was mobilization of manpower resources adequate? Yes No; Explain:
Mobilization	Was local agency responsible for fire suppression used appropriately? Yes No; Explain:
Mot	How could this be improved?
	What company equipment/resources were mobilized?
	Were they utilized effectively? Yes No; Explain:
	What other company resources were available?
	Why weren't they used?



FORM F-191.9

	Is there an adequate emergency response plan for the location? Yes No; Explain:
	Is it flexible enough to cope with unexpected events? Yes No; Explain:
	Does the plan include clear understanding of local environmental sensitivities?
itegy	What was the initial strategy for response to this incident?
Response - S <i>trategy</i>	Is this strategy defined in the emergency plan? Yes No; Explain:
Respor	How did strategy evolve and change during this leak and how were these changes implemented?
	What caused the changes?
	Are improvements in the plan needed? No Yes; Explain:
	Is more training needed?



Gas Operations and Maintenance Manual

Did ut	ilization of resources	s change v	with time	e? □No □Yes; Explain:		
Were	/ere resources used effectively?					
	Resource	Yes	No	Explain		
(Contractors					
	Government Agencies					
(Company					
(Cooperatives					
`	Volunteers					
(Consultants					
(Other					
What	hat changes would have been useful?					
	-					



Gas Operations and Maintenance Manual

	Who was initially in charge of the emergency response?				
Command Structure					
	Was there a clear command structure maintained?				
	What sort of organization was initially set up?				
	Did this change with time? Yes No; Explain:				
	What changes would have been useful?				
	Was there adequate surveillance? Yes No; Explain:				
	Should there be any changes? No Yes; Explain:				
	Were communications adequate?				
	What improvements are needed?				
	Was support from financial services adequate?				
	Should there be any changes? No Yes; Explain:				
	Is more planning needed? No Yes; Explain:				
	Should financial procedures be developed to handle such incidents?				
	Was there adequate measurement or estimation of the volume released?				
ments	Yes No; Explain:				
Measurements	Should better measurement procedures be developed for either phase of operations?				
Σ	□No □Yes; Explain:				



Gas Operations and Maintenance Manual

	What were the roles and effects of the various government agencies involved?				
Government Relations	Was there a single focal point among the government agencies for contact?				
	Should there have been better focus of communications to the agencies?				
	Were government agencies adequately informed at all stages? Yes No; Explain:				
	Were too many agencies involved?				
	Are any changes needed in procedures to manage government relations?				
	Was there adequate agreement with the government agencies on criteria for remediation?				
	How was the agreement developed?				
	Were we too agreeable with the agencies in accepting their requests for specific action items?				
	How were relations with the media handled?				
Public Relations	What problems were encountered?				
	Are improvements needed? No Yes; Explain:				
oublid	How could public outcry have been reduced?				
ш	Would it be useful to undertake a public information effort to "educate" reporters about gas leaks? No Yes; Explain:				
	There were:				
es	Fatalities				
Losses	Personal injuries requiring inpatient hospitalization				
ö					
Los	Personal injuries requiring outpatient care Personal injuries not requiring a hospital				



FORM F-191.9

Incident Notification,	Reporting	& Investigation
		(Distribution)

		Cost of	Estimated	Ac	tual					
		Remediation								
		Fire control & Cleanup								
		Fires								
		Disposal								
	Lost Cargo									
ary		Legal Fees								
Summary		Company team (wages & expenses)								
Sur		Money paid by insurance company								
	How could expenses have been reduced?									
	Things done right: Improvements:									
	What lessons were learned?									
			Personnel	Completion Date						
		Changes to be made	Responsible	Expected	Actual					
ary										
Summary										
ັດ										
Investigat	ion c	losed on (Date):								
Area Supe	rinten	ident Signature		Date:						
Area Mana	iger S	Signature		Date:						



FORM F-191.9

Incident Notification, Reporting & Investigation (Distribution)

THIS PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALL BLANK